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#### Abstract

A unifying semi-local convergence theory for Newton's method is extended on Banach space with a convergence structure. The new theory is obtained without additional conditions and is leading to an enlarged convergence domain, improved estimates on the error distances as well as more precise knowledge of the whereabouts of the solution of the equation about a neighbourhood of the initial point. An application of the theory is included along with an example.


## 1. Introduction

Let $Q$ be an operator defined on a Banach space $B$ with values in $B$. Let us also define the operator $F: B \rightarrow B$ by
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$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=M Q\left(x_{0}+x\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is an initial point. We shall determine a solution $x^{*}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is an approximation to $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}$.
Next, in order to make the article as self contained as possible we reintroduce some concepts that can also be found with more details in [12]. Motivated by optimization considerations we present a new semi-local convergence analysis for Newton's method with the following benefits:
(1) Extended convergence domain.
(2) Tighter error estimates on the distances involved.
(3) A more precise information on the uniqueness ball containing the solution $x^{*}$.

This is obtained using more precise majorants than in [12] and under the same computational cost, since the new functions are tighter and special cases of the ones in [12]. Relevant research on a real Banach space can be found in [1-11, 13-23].

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A Banach space $B$ with Convergence Structure (CS) is a triplet $(B, U, W)$ with the following properties:
(i) The pair $(B,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space (real).
(ii) The triplet $\left(U, K,\|\cdot\|_{U}\right)$ is a real Banach space which is ordered by the convex and closed cone $K$. Moreover, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{U}$ is assumed to be monotone on $K$.
(iii) $W$ is a convex closed cone in $B \times U$ such that $\{0\} \times K \subset W \subset B \times K$.
(iv) The mapping $|\cdot|: H \rightarrow K$ is well defined for each $x \in H=\{x \in B \mid \exists v \in K:(x, v) \in W\}$ by

$$
|x|=\inf \{v \in K \mid(x, v) \in W\}
$$

(v) For each $x \in H:\|x\| \leq\||x|\|_{U}$.

Recall [12] that the set $H$ satisfies $H+H \subset H$ and for each $p>0: p H \subset H$. Moreover, the set

$$
S(\alpha)=\{x \in B \mid(x, \alpha) \in W\}
$$

defines a "generalized" neighbourhood of zero.
Let $B=\mathbb{R}^{j}$ be equipped with the maximum norm. Then, the following examples constitute the motivation for the Definition 2.1
(a) $U=\mathbb{R}, W=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{j} \times \mathbb{R},\|x\|_{\infty} \leq v\right\}$.
(b) $U=\mathbb{R}^{j}, W=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{j} \times \mathbb{R}^{j},|x| \leq v\right\}$, the component wise absolute value.
(c) $U=\mathbb{R}^{j}, W=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{j} \times \mathbb{R}^{j},|x| \leq v\right\}$.

Case (a) is the classical convergence analysis in Banach space. Case (b) is used for component wise analysis and error estimates. Case (c) is used for the monotone convergence analysis.

We consider monotonicity in the space $B \times U$. Let $\left(x_{m}, v_{m}\right) \in W^{\mathbb{R}}$ be an increasing sequence.

Then, we have

$$
\left(x_{m}, v_{m}\right) \leq\left(x_{m+i}, v_{m+i}\right) \Rightarrow 0 \leq\left(x_{m+i}-x_{m}, v_{m+i}-v_{m}\right) .
$$

If $v_{n} \rightarrow v$, then we get $0 \leq\left(x_{m+i}-x_{m}, v-v_{m}\right)$. Consequently, by the condition (v) of Definition 2.1

$$
\left\|x_{m+i}-x_{m}\right\| \leq\left\|v-v_{m}\right\|_{U} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Thus, the sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is complete. We use the sequence $v_{m}=a_{0}-a_{m}$, where the sequence $\left\{a_{m}\right\} \in K^{\mathbb{R}}$ is decreasing to find the estimate

$$
0 \leq\left(x_{m+i}-x_{m}, a_{m}-a_{m+i}\right) \leq\left(x_{m+i}-x_{m}, a_{m}\right)
$$

Then, if $x_{m} \rightarrow x^{*}$, this leads to the estimate $\left|x^{*}-x_{m}\right|=a_{m}$.
The notation $\mathscr{L}\left(B^{m}\right)$ stands for the space of multilinear, symmetric and bounded operators $M: B^{m} \rightarrow B$ on the Banach space $B$. Then, for an ordered Banach space $U$, we define

$$
L_{+}\left(U^{m}\right)=\left\{L \in \mathscr{L}\left(U^{m}\right) \mid 0 \leq x_{i} \Rightarrow 0 \leq L\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right\} .
$$

A mapping $L \in C^{1}\left(U_{M} \rightarrow U\right)$ on an open subset $U_{M}$ of an ordered Banach space $U$ is defined to be order convex on the interval $\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right] \subset U_{M}$ if for $s_{3}, s_{4} \in\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$

$$
s_{3} \leq s_{4} \Rightarrow L^{\prime}\left(s_{4}\right)-L^{\prime}\left(s_{3}\right) \in L_{+}(U) .
$$

Definition 2.2. The set of bounds for an operator $M \in \mathscr{L}\left(B^{m}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(M)= & \left\{L \in M_{+}\left(U^{m}\right) \mid\left(x_{i}, v_{i}\right) \in H \Rightarrow\left[M\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.L\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)\right] \in W\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following auxiliary result is needed.
Lemma 2.3. Let $M[0,1] \rightarrow \mathscr{L}\left(B^{m}\right)$ and $L:[0,1] \rightarrow L_{+}\left(U^{m}\right) \quad$ be continuous mappings. Then, for each $t \in[0,1]$

$$
L(t) \in B(M(t)) \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} L(t) d t \in B\left(\int_{0}^{1} M(t) d t\right) .
$$

This result will be used for the remainder of Taylor's Theorem. Let $P: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}$ be a mapping on a subset $B_{1}$ of a normed space. Then, $P^{m}(x)$ stands for the $m$-fold application of $P$. In case of convergence

$$
P^{\infty}(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} P^{m}(x) .
$$

The definition of the right inverse follows:

Definition 2.4. Let $M \in \mathscr{L}(B)$ and $w \in B$ be an arbitrary point. Then, we define

$$
M^{*} w=z \Leftrightarrow z \in P^{\infty}(0), P(x)=(I-M) x+w \Leftrightarrow z=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}(I-M)^{m} w
$$

provided this limit exists.
Under the preceding notation, the Newton's method for generating a sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ approximating a solution $x^{*}$ of equation (1.1) is defined for $x_{0}=0$ and each $m=0,1,2, \ldots$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+1}=x_{m}+F^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right)^{*}\left(-F\left(x_{m}\right)\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right)^{*}$ follows the notation as in Definition 2.4.

## 3. Convergence

Some notions of generalized Lipschitz continuity are developed and compared to.

Definition 3.1. The operator $L_{0}$ is order-convex and generalized centerLipschitz on the domain $\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$ for some $\alpha^{*}$ and for each $x \in S\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$ with $|x| \leq \alpha^{*}$

$$
L_{0}^{\prime}(|x|)-L_{0}^{\prime}(|0|) \in T\left(F^{\prime}(0)-F^{\prime}(x)\right) .
$$

Suppose that $L_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \alpha_{0} \leq I$, for some $\alpha_{0} \leq \alpha^{*}$.
Definition 3.2. The operator $L$ is order-convex and restricted generalized Lipschitz on the domain $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$ and for each $x, y \in S\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ with $|x|+|y| \leq \alpha_{0}$

$$
L^{\prime}(|x|+|y|)-L^{\prime}(|x|) \in T\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(x+y)\right) .
$$

Denote by $\alpha$ the smallest point in $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ satisfying this condition.

Definition 3.3. The operator $L_{1}$ is order convex and generalized

Lipschitz on the domain $\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$ and for each $x, y \in S\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$ with $|x|+|y| \leq \alpha^{*}$

$$
L_{i}^{\prime}(|x|+|y|)-L_{1}^{\prime}(|x|) \in T\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(x+y)\right) .
$$

Denote by $\alpha_{1}$ the smallest point in ( $0, \alpha^{*}$ ] satisfying this condition.
Remark 3.4. It follows by these definitions that

$$
L_{0}^{\prime} \leq L_{1}^{\prime}
$$

and $L^{\prime} \leq L_{1}^{\prime}$,
since $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right] \subset\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$ and $[0, \alpha] \subset\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$.
Notice that $\quad L_{0}=L_{0}(\alpha, B, U, E), \quad L_{1}=L_{1}(\alpha, B, U, E) \quad$ but $L=L\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha, B, U, E\right)$. That is $L_{0}$ used to define $L$. In view of the above, tighter $L$ can replace $L_{1}$ in the results in [12].

We assume that

$$
L_{0}^{\prime} \leq L^{\prime} .
$$

Otherwise, the results that follow hold for $L_{2}$ replacing $L$, where $L_{2}$ is the maximum operator on $[0, \alpha]$.

Next, we present the semi-local convergence of the Newton sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which extends the corresponding Theorem 5 in [12].

Theorem 3.5. Assume there exists a Banach space $B$ with $C S(B, U, V)$ with $U=\left(U, K,\|\cdot\|_{U}\right)$, a mapping $F \in C^{1}\left(B_{F} \rightarrow B\right)$ with $B_{F} \subset B, a$ mapping $L_{0} \in C^{1}\left(U_{L_{0}} \rightarrow U\right)$ with $U_{L_{0}} \subset U$, such that there exist $\alpha_{0}$, $\alpha^{*}$ with $\alpha_{0} \leq \alpha^{*}$ such that each $x \in S\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$ with $|x| \leq \alpha^{*}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0}^{\prime}(|x|)-L_{0}^{\prime}(|0|) \in B\left(F^{\prime}(0)-F^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
\text { and } L_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \leq I .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, assume
(i) $S\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \subset B_{F}$ and $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right] \subset U_{1}$.
(ii) The operator $L$ is order convex on $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$ and satisfies for $x, y \in S\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x|+|y| \leq \alpha_{0} \\
L^{\prime}(|x|+|y|)-L^{\prime}(|x|) \in B\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(x+y)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) $L^{\prime}(0) \in B\left(I-F^{\prime}(0)\right)$ and $(-F(0), L(0)) \in W$.
(iv) $L\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \leq \alpha_{0}$.
(v) $L^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)^{m} \alpha_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Then, the following assertions hold:
(1) The Newton sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is well defined and converges to the unique solution $x^{*} \in S\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ of the equation $F(x)=0$.
(2) Define the sequence $\left\{d_{m}\right\}$ for $d_{0}=0$ and each $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m} & =\left\|x_{m+1}-x_{m}\right\|, \\
d_{m+1} & =L\left(d_{m}\right)+L^{\prime}\left(\left|x_{m}\right|\right) c_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for $b=L^{\infty}(0)$ being the smallest fixed point of $L$ in $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$, the sequence $\left(x_{m}, d_{m}\right) \in(B \times U)^{R}$ is well defined, belongs in $W^{R}$, is monotone and satisfies $d_{m} \leq b$.
(3) If $S_{m}(W)=L\left(\left|x_{m}\right|+v\right)-L\left(\left|x_{m}\right|\right)-L^{\prime}\left(\left|x_{m}\right|\right) v$, define

$$
R_{m}(v)=\left(I-L^{\prime}\left(\left|x_{m}\right|\right)\right)^{*} S_{m}(v)+c_{m} .
$$

Then, if $S_{m}$ is monotone on the interval $I_{m}=\left[0, \alpha_{0}-\left|x_{m}\right|\right]$ and there exists $v_{m} \in K$ with $\left|x_{m}\right|+v_{m} \leq \alpha_{0}$ and

$$
S_{m}\left(v_{m}\right)+L^{\prime}\left(\left|x_{m}\right|\right)\left(v_{m}-c_{m}\right) \leq v_{m}-c_{m},
$$

then $R_{m}:\left[0, v_{m}\right] \rightarrow\left[0, v_{m}\right]$ is well defined and monotone. A choice for $v_{m}$ is $\alpha_{0}-d_{n}$.
(4) If $v \in I_{m}$ satisfies $R_{m}(v) \leq v$, then

$$
c_{m} \leq R_{m}(v)=g \leq v \text { and } R_{m+1}\left(g-c_{m}\right) \leq g-c_{m} .
$$

(5) If any solution $v \in I_{m}$ of $R_{m}(v)=v$, then

$$
\left|x^{*}-x_{m}\right| \leq R_{m}^{\infty}(0) \leq v .
$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 5 in [12], with $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right], L$, replacing $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right], L_{1}$ respectively.

Notice that $L_{0}$ is only used to define $L$.
Remark 3.6. If $L_{1}=L$, then the results of Theorem 3.5 reduce to the ones in Theorem 5 in [12]. Otherwise, the new results extend the ones in [12] with advantages already stated in the introduction.

Theorem 3.7. Assume the conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 3.5 and one more condition (iv). There exists $p \in(0,1)$ such that $L\left(x_{0}\right) \leq p \alpha_{0}$.

Then, there exists $\bar{\alpha} \in\left[0, p \alpha_{0}\right]$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.5. Moreover, the solution $x^{*} \in S(\bar{\alpha})$ of the equation $F(x)=0$ is unique in $S\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$.

Remarks similar to Remark 3.6 can follow for the Theorem 3.7. This theorem extends Theorem 6 in [12]. The monotonicity case given in Theorem 13 in [12] can also be immediately extended if $B=U, H=C^{2}$ and $|\cdot|=I$.

Next, applications of the theory follow.

## 4. Applications

Case 1. The Banach space with a real norm $\|\cdot\|$.
We assume that the derivative $F^{\prime}$ exists and $F^{\prime}(0)=I$, the identity operator on $B$. Moreover, assume there exists a monotone mapping $h_{0}:\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $x \in S\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(0)\right\| \leq h_{0}(\|x\|)\|x\| . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, define the operator $L_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{\prime}(v)=\int_{0}^{v} h_{0}(t) d t . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the interesting case, when the monotone mapping $h_{0}$ is constant, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{\prime}(v)=h_{0} v . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by $h_{0}(t) \leq h_{0}(\alpha)$ that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{\prime}(v)<I \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{h_{0}}<\alpha^{*} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a monotone mapping $h:\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $x \in S\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leq h(\|x\|) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the operator $L$ on the interval $\left[0, \alpha_{0}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(v)=\|F(0)\|+\int_{0}^{v} d s \int_{0}^{s} h(t) d t . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case when the mapping $h$ is a constant then for $h(t) \leq h(\alpha)$, the condition (4.7) holds if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \alpha^{2}+\|F(0)\| \leq \alpha \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can happen if

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=2\|F(0)\| h \leq 1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leq \alpha^{*} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a monotone mapping $h_{1}:\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $x \in S\left(\alpha^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leq h(\|x\|) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the operator $L_{1}$ on the interval $\left[0, \alpha^{*}\right]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(v)=\|F(0)\|+\int_{0}^{v} d s \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) d t . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This time we have that if the mapping $h_{1}$ is constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(v)=\frac{1}{2} h_{1}^{2} v^{2}+\|F(0)\| . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the corresponding Kantorovich type condition [1-3, 8] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=2\|F(0)\| h_{1} \leq 1 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha^{*} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (4.14) is the celebrated Kantorovich convergence condition for Newton's method [[8], Ch.18].

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0} \leq h_{1} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq h_{1} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \leq 1 \Rightarrow h=1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

but not necessarily vice versa unless if $h=h_{1}$.
Moreover, $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{1}$ can be given in explicit form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{2\|F(0)\|}{1+\sqrt{1-q}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{2\|F(0)\|}{1+\sqrt{1-q_{1}}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that (4.9) and (4.14) hold. Then, in view of (4.17), (4.19) and (4.20), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \leq \alpha_{1} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the convergence criterion given in Theorem 5 in [12] is weakened without additional conditions, since the computation of $L_{1}$ requires that of $L_{0}$ and $L$ as special cases.

In the next example, we find explicitly the mappings and parameters introduced in Case 1.

Example 4.1. Let $B=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\alpha^{*}=1$. Then, for $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)^{t r}$ and some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ define the operator $F$ on $B$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=\left(e^{w_{1}}+\gamma-1+w_{2}+w_{3}, \frac{e-1}{2} w_{2}^{2}+w_{2}+w_{3}, w_{2}+w_{3}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the derivatives $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$ are given by

$$
F^{\prime}(w)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{w_{1}} & 0 & 0  \tag{4.23}\\
0 & (e-1) w_{2}+1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(w)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
e^{w_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{4.24}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (e-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows from (4.22) and (4.23) that $F(0)=(\gamma, 0,0)^{t r}$ and $F^{\prime}(0)=I$. Then, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{0}(v) & =(e-1) v, \\
\alpha_{0} & =\frac{1}{e-1}, \\
h(v) & =v e^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}}=v e^{\frac{1}{e-1}}, \\
h_{1}(v) & =v e, \\
q & =2|\gamma| e^{\alpha_{0}}, \\
q_{1} & =2|\gamma| e,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2|\gamma| e^{\alpha_{0}}}}{e^{\alpha_{0}}} \\
\text { and } \alpha_{1} & =\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2|\gamma| e}}{e} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Specifying } \gamma=\frac{3}{8(e-1)} \text {, we get }
$$

$$
q=0.58390407<1
$$

but

$$
q_{1}=1.18648253>1 .
$$

Hence, the previous Kantorovich conditions (4.14) in [12] is not fulfilled. Hence, there is no guarantee that the Newton's method converges to the solution. But the new condition (4.9) is fulfilled.

Notice also that

$$
\alpha=0.265330058<\alpha^{*} .
$$

Therefore, Newton's method converges to the solution $x^{*}=(0.1974082327,0,0)^{t r}$.
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